arXiv:q-alg/9511023v4 4 Jan 1999

On The Structure of Quantum Super Groups $\mathrm{GL}_q(m|n)$*

Phùng Hồ Hải†

International Center for Theoretical Physics

P.O. Box 586, 34100 Trieste, Italy‡

phung@ictp.trieste.it

Abstract

We show that a quantum super matrix in standard format is invertible if and only if its block matrices of even entries are invertible. We prove the q-analog of the well-known formula for the Berezinian.

1 Introduction

Quantum general linear super groups were firstly studied by Manin. In [15], Manin introduced multiparameter deformations of the function ring $\mathrm{M}(m|n)$ on the semi super group of super matrices. A quantum general linear super group is defined to consist of "symmetries" of a quantum space. Thus, Manin defined the quantum super semi-group $\mathrm{M}_q(m|n)$ as a super bialgebra that coacts universally on the quadratic algebra $A_q^{m|n}$ and its (graded) dual, which represent a quantum super space. $\mathrm{M}_q(m|n)$ depends on $d(d-1)/2$ parameters ($d = m+n$). By requiring that $\mathrm{M}_q(m|n)$ should have the same Poincaré series as $\mathrm{M}(m|n)$ does, Manin reduced the number of parameter to one continuous parameter q and discrete parameters $\varepsilon_{ij} = \pm 1$, $1 \le i, j \le d$. Later, Demidov [3] checked that ε_{ij} should satisfy the condition $(\varepsilon_{ik} - \varepsilon_{ij})(\varepsilon_{jk} - \varepsilon_{ik}) = 0$, $i < j < k$. The quantum super group $\mathrm{GL}_q(m|n)$ is defined to be the Hopf envelope of $\mathrm{M}_q(m|n)$. Sudbery had slightly changed Manin's construction and found a deformation depending on $n(n-1)/2 + 1$ continuous parameters [16]. Sudbery's construction is also more compatible with the construction of Faddeev, Reshetikhin and Takhtajian [5].

*JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA to appear

†The author would like to thank the International Center for Theoretical Physics for its hospitality and financial support.

‡On leave from Hanoi Institute of Mathematics P.O. Box 631, 10000 Bo Ho, Hanoi, Vietnam

Multiparameter deformations for GL(1|1) were also studied by several authors [1, 2].

The (super) determinant of a (super) matrix gives a criteria for the invertibility of the (super) matrix. For quantum super matrix it is not always possible to define the quantum super determinant. The standard way of obtaining a quantum (super) group from a given quantum (super) semi-group is to construct the Hopf envelope. Then one may use the quantum Koszul complex to define the quantum (super) determinant. The classical Koszul complex was introduced by Manin in [15]. Lyubashenko [13] and Gurevich [6] studied Koszul complexes associated to even (Hecke) symmetries. In [14], Lyubashenko and Sudbery studied Koszul complexes associated to (non-even) closed Hecke symmetries. They gave an explicit formula of the quantum super determinant for the multiparameter deformation case. A formula for the quantum super determinant in this case was also given by Kobayashi and Uematsu without explicit explanations [11].

It is still an open question if the Koszul complex always yields the quantum super determinant, i.e., if the complex has the cohomology group of dimension one, and if the quantum super determinant gives a criteria for the invertibility of the quantum super matrix.

In the classical case, the invertibility of a super matrix in a standard format $Z = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is shown to be equivalent to the invertibility of the matrices A and $D - CA^{-1}B$. The super determinant – Berezinian – is defined to be $\mathrm{Ber}Z = \mathrm{det}A \cdot \mathrm{det}(D - CA^{-1}B)$. The aim of this paper is to show the q-analog of this fact, first for the multiparameter deformations and then for the deformations associated to Hecke sums of an odd and an even Hecke operator.

In §2 and §3 we recall the general construction of quantum general linear super (semi) groups and the associated Koszul complex that induces the quantum super determinant. In §4 we show that the quantum super determinant defined in §3 has the same form as in the classical case and the quantum super matrix is invertible if this super determinant is invertible. We also prove the quantum analogs of the well-known formulas for the super determinant. § 5 is devoted to the study of deformations associated to Hecke sums of odd and even Hecke symmetries.

Throughout the paper, the parameter q will be assumed to be invertible and non-root of unity other than 1.

2 Multiparameter Deformations of $\mathbf{M}(m|n)$

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector super space over $\mathbb{K}$, a field of characteristic zero. Fix a homogeneous basis $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d$ of V, $d = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} V$, and let $\hat{i}$ denote the parity ($\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradation) of x_i. Let $\{p_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq d\}$ and $\{q_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq d\}$, be two sets of elements from $\mathbb{K}^\times = \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. For convenience, we shall also set $p_{ji} := p_{ij}^{-1}, q_{ji} := q_{ij}^{-1}, p_{ii} = q_{ii} = 1$. Let R be an operator on $V \otimes V$, whose matrix,

with respect to the basis $x_i \otimes x_j$, has the form:

$$R(x_i \otimes x_j) = x_k \otimes x_l R^{kl}_{ij},$$
$$R^{kl}_{ij} = \frac{q - p_{ij}q_{ij}}{1 + p_{ij}q_{ij}}\delta^{kl}_{ij} + (-1)^{\hat{i}\hat{j}}\frac{p_{ij}(q+1)}{1 + p_{ij}q_{ij}}\delta^{lk}_{ij}, \tag{1}$$

here we use the convention of summing up by indices which appear in both upper and lower positions.

We shall assume that $p_{ij}q_{ij}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{l} p_{ij}q_{ij} = q^{\varepsilon_{ij}}, \varepsilon_{ij} = \pm 1, i \neq j, \\ (\varepsilon_{ik} - \varepsilon_{ij})(\varepsilon_{jk} - \varepsilon_{ik}) = 0, \text{for } i < j < k. \end{array} \tag{2}$$

One can easily verify that $R : V \otimes V \longrightarrow V \otimes V$ preserves parity, hence is a morphism in the category of super vector spaces. (1) implies that R satisfies the Hecke equation $(R+1)(R-q) = 0$. The conditions in (2) ensure that R satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation

$$(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R). \tag{3}$$

To R there are associated the following quadratic algebras. The quadratic algebras S and Λ are factor algebras of the tensor algebra on V by the following relations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{for } S: & x_i x_j R^{ij}_{kl} = q x_k x_l \Longleftrightarrow x_i x_j = (-1)^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} q^{-1}_{ij} x_j x_i, \\ \text{for } \Lambda: & x_i x_j R^{ij}_{kl} = -x_k x_l \Longleftrightarrow x_i x_j = -(-1)^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} p_{ij} x_j x_i. \end{array} \tag{4}$$

Let E_1 be a vector super space, generated over $\mathbb{K}$ by a basis $\{z^i_j, i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ with parities $\hat{z}^i_j$ of z^i_j equal to $\hat{i} + \hat{j}$. The quadratic algebra E is defined to be the factor algebra of the tensor algebra on E_1 by the ideal generated by the following relations:

$$(-1)^{\hat{s}(\hat{i}+\hat{p})} R^{kl}_{ps} z^p_i z^s_j = (-1)^{\hat{l}(\hat{q}+\hat{k})} z^k_q z^l_n R^{qn}_{ij}. \tag{5}$$

E is a super bialgebra with the coproduct and the counit defined as follows:

$$\Delta(z^j_i) = \sum_k z^j_k \otimes z^k_i, \quad \varepsilon(z^j_i) = \delta^j_i. \tag{6}$$

In fact, it can be shown that E coacts universally on the super algebras S and Λ and the super bialgebra structure comes from the universality (see, e.g., [15], see also [7] for a more general setting). For convenience, we shall refer to a matrix, that satisfies the condition in (5), as quantum super matrix, and, in the case all parameters are even, quantum matrix. A matrix of elements in E is called multiplicative if it satisfies (6), in particular, a one-dimensional multiplicative matrix is a group-like element.

Assume that there are m even parameters and n odd parameters among x_1, $x_2, \ldots, x_d$, $m+n=d$, then E is called the "algebra of functions" on $\mathrm{M}_q(m|n)$ – the multiparameter deformations of the super semi-group $\mathrm{M}(m|n)$.

The algebras S, Λ and E were introduced in [15]. S and Λ can be interpreted as the symmetric and exterior tensor algebras on the quantum super space while E can be interpreted as the function algebra on the quantum matrix super semi-group.

The matrix R in (1) is, so far, the most general R-matrix that induces a multiparameter deformation of $\mathrm{GL}(m|n)$. It combines the deformation found by Manin [15] and the deformation found by Sudbery [16]. The algebra E has a PBW-basis. In fact, one can show the following.

Assume that R is given as in (1), then the following are equivalent:

1) R satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation.

2) p_{ij}, q_{ij} satisfy (2).

3) E has the correct Poincaré series and hence has a PBW-basis.

1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ 2) can be checked directly (cf. [16]).

1) $\Longrightarrow$ 3) can be proved using the method of [17], a more general result is obtained in [8]. The existence of a PBW-basis can be shown using an algorithm of [15].

3) $\Longrightarrow$ 2) can be proved as in [15]. The case $p_{ij}=q_{ij}$ has been checked by E. Demidov [3].

Since R satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation, it induces a coquasitriangular structure on E and hence a braiding in the category of E-comodules. Moreover, R is closed, i.e., there exists a matrix S_{ij}^{kl} such that $R_{im}^{jn}S_{nl}^{mk} = S_{im}^{jn}R_{nl}^{mk} = \delta_i^k\delta_l^j$, hence one can extend the above category adding the dual of V. Recall that the dual super vector space to V is a vector space V^* generated by vectors $\xi^1, \xi^2, \ldots, \xi^a$ with parities $\hat{\xi}^i = \hat{i}$. The braiding on $V \otimes V^*$ is given by means of S. For more details, the reader is referred to [12, 14, 6].

3 Quantum Super Group $\mathbf{GL}_q(m|n)$ and Quantum Berezinian

Given a quantum semi (super) group, we can use Manin's construction to obtain the corresponding quantum (super) group. Manin's idea is to invert the multiplicative matrix of generators, in other words, to add to the set of generators the entries of the formal inverse matrix. Since we are working with non-commutative (super) algebras, the operation of taking inverse (i.e. the antipode) is not involutive. So that, in general, Manin's construction leads to an infinitely generated algebra. Thanks to the special property of the matrix R (Yang-Baxter equation and closeness) the quantum super group is still finitely generated in our case.

3.1 The inverse of a quantum super matrix

Let now Z be a quantum super matrix in the sense of §2. Formally, we denote its inverse by T, thus we have relations like $t_k^j z_i^k = z_k^j t_i^k = \delta_i^j$, which imply that T^t (but not T !), up to some signs, is a quantum super matrix.

The function ring H on the quantum matrix super group $\mathrm{GL}_q(m|n)$ is defined to be the Hopf envelope of E [15]. Let $\{t_i^j, i = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ be a set of homogeneous parameters of parities $\hat{t}_i^j = \hat{i} + \hat{j}$, H is defined as a factor algebra of the free super algebra, generated by $z_i^j, t_i^j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$, by the ideal, generated by (5) and the following relations:

$$\sum_k (-1)^{\hat{i}(\hat{k}+1)} z_k^j t_i^k = \sum_k (-1)^{\hat{k}(\hat{j}+1)} t_k^j z_i^k = \delta_i^j, \tag{7}$$

(cf. [14]). Thus, we see that $(-1)^{\hat{k}(\hat{j}+1)} t_k^j$ is the inverse of Z. As in the non-super case (cf. [5, 9]), the antipode on H can be given as follows [7]. Let G be an $d \times d$ matrix, $G_i^j = S_{il}^{jl}$, then

$$S(z_i^j) = (-1)^{\hat{i}(\hat{j}+1)} t_i^j, \tag{8}$$

$$S(t_i^j) = (-1)^{(k+i)j} G_k^j z_l^k {G^{-1}}_i^l. \tag{9}$$

The matrix G plays an important role in the theory and is called the quantum parity operator by Gurevich, we suppose the name reflection operator is more appropriate, since G is the matrix for the canonical isomorphism $V \longrightarrow V^{**}$. Direct computation shows that

$$G = \mathrm{Diag}\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{m+n}\},$$
$$\mathrm{Tr}(G) = -[n-m]_q,$$

where $G_k = -(-1)^{\hat{k}} q^{k_+ - k_-}$, $k_+(k_-)$ denotes the cardinality of even elements (odd elements) among $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$.

The matrix $(t_i^j)^t$ is a multiplicative matrix: $\Delta t_i^j = \sum_k t_i^k \otimes t_k^j$. From (7) and (5) we get

$$(-1)^{\hat{k}(\hat{i}+\hat{j})} R_{ql}^{pj} z_j^i t_k^l = (-1)^{\hat{m}(\hat{n}+\hat{p})} t_n^p z_q^m R_{mk}^{ni}, \tag{10}$$

$$(-1)^{\hat{s}(\hat{i}+\hat{p})} R_{ps}^{kl} t_j^s t_i^p = (-1)^{\hat{l}(\hat{q}+\hat{k})} t_n^l t_q^k R_{ij}^{qn}. \tag{11}$$

Remark that the construction of this section applies well for any closed Yang-Baxter operator. In §4 we shall study deformations associated to the Hecke sum of an odd and an even Hecke operator.

3.2 The Quantum Determinant

In the non-super case, the quantum determinant is used to construct a Hopf algebra from the matrix bialgebra. It is a group-like element in the bialgebra

E, which has a special property, so that we can localize E with respect to this element to get a Hopf algebra. If it commutes with all elements we can set it equal to 1 to get a deformation of the special linear group. Quantum determinant can be defined for any even Hecke operators [6].

Since, later, we will want to express the quantum super determinant as a product of quantum determinant of certain quantum matrices, we shall need to know, for what kind of matrix of non-commuting entries it is possible to define a quantum determinant.

Let us recall the quantum determinant for the multiparameter deformation of $\mathrm{GL}(d)$. Thus assume that $\hat{i} = 0$ for all i, in other words $n = 0, m = d$. Then Λ_d (the d^{th} homogeneous component of Λ) is one-dimensional and can be generated by $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_d$. Since Λ_d is an E-comodule, the coaction $\delta : \Lambda_d \longrightarrow \Lambda_d \otimes E$ defines a group-like element in E: $\delta(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_d) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_d \otimes \det_q Z$. Let us denote for any element σ of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_d$, $\sigma(p) := \prod_{i<j,\ i\sigma>j\sigma} p_{i\sigma,j\sigma}$. Then we have $\det_q Z = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_d} \sigma(-p) z_1^{1\sigma} z_2^{2\sigma} \cdots z_d^{d\sigma}$. More generally, since any element $x_{1\tau} x_{2\tau} \cdots x_{d\tau}$, $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_d$, generates Λ^d as well, we have

$$\det_q Z = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_d} \tfrac{\sigma(-p)}{\tau(-p)} z_{1\tau}^{1\sigma} z_{2\tau}^{2\sigma} \cdots z_{d\tau}^{d\sigma}, \tag{12}$$

for all τ from $\mathfrak{S}_d$. Let $H := E[\det_q Z^{-1}]$ then H is a Hopf algebra [6, 5]. Let S be the antipode and $t_i^j := S(z_i^j)$, then, by definition of the antipode,

$$\sum_k z_k^j t_i^k = \sum_k t_k^j z_i^k = \delta_i^j. \tag{13}$$

(13) and (5) imply the commuting rule between z_i^j and t_k^l:

$$R_{ql}^{pj} z_j^i t_k^l = t_n^p z_q^m R_{mk}^{ni},$$
$$R_{sp}^{lk} t_k^i t_l^j = t_p^m t_s^n R_{nm}^{ji}.$$

Let $\Lambda^\vee$ be the dual quadratic algebra to Λ (see the next section). Then $\Lambda^\vee$ is also an H-comodule. $\Lambda_d^\vee$ is of dimension one, and hence defines a group-like element in H, which is the quantum determinant of $T = (t_i^j)$. Notice that $\Lambda_d^\vee$ is dual to Λ_d as H-comodules, thus

$$\det_q Z = (\det_q Z^{-1})^{-1}. \tag{14}$$

If all parameters are odd, i.e., $\hat{i} = 1$ for all i, then S_d will be one-dimensional and generated by any of the elements $x_{1\tau} x_{2\tau} \cdots x_{d\tau}$. Denote by $\det_q Z$ the quantum determinant: $\delta(x_{1\tau} x_{2\tau} \cdots x_{d\tau}) = x_{1\tau} x_{2\tau} \cdots x_{d\tau} \otimes \det_q Z$. Thus, we have

$$\det_q Z = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_d} \tfrac{\sigma(q^{-1})}{\tau(q^{-1})} z_{1\tau}^{1\sigma} z_{2\tau}^{2\sigma} \cdots z_{d\tau}^{d\sigma}. \tag{15}$$

And hence, for $H := E[\det_q Z^{-1}]$ we have the analogous assertions.

Assume again that all elements x_i are even. Let K be an algebra and $(a_i^j)_{i,j=1}^d$ be a matrix of elements from K. (a_i^j) is called a quantum matrix if there exists an algebra homomorphism, denoted by A, $A : E \longrightarrow K, z_i^j \longmapsto a_i^j$, in other words, A is a K-point of $\mathrm{M}_q(d)$. Under A, the quantum determinant $\det_q$ is transposed into a certain element in K, denoted by $\det_q A$. From the discussion above we immediately have the following lemma, which will be of use in the next sections.

Lemma 3.1 *Let K be an algebra and $(a_i^j)_{i,j=1}^d$ be a quantum matrix in K. Then there exist elements $\det_q A$ and b_i^j in K, such that*

$$\sum_k a_k^j b_i^k = \sum_k b_k^j a_i^k = \det_q A.$$

If moreover $\det_q A$ is invertible, then there are elements c_i^j in K such that

$$\sum_k a_k^j c_i^k = \sum_k c_k^j a_i^k = \delta_i^j,$$

and c_i^j obey the relation:

$$c_k^i c_l^j R_{sp}^{lk} = R_{nm}^{ji} c_p^m c_s^n.$$

Hence $\det_q C$ can be defined and $\det_q C = (\det_q A)^{-1}$, in other words, $\det_q(A^{-1}) = (\det_q A)^{-1}$.

3.3 Koszul complex and Berezinian

Generally, finding a group-like element of a bialgebra is equivalent to finding a one-dimensional comodule. Unlike in the non-super case, in the super case, the algebras S and Λ are both infinite-dimensional, hence we cannot derive from them group like elements as in the previous section. The quantum Berezinian was introduced by Manin [15] as the homology of certain complex defined on S and Λ. It is not contained in E but rather in H. The explicit formula for the quantum determinant was given by Lyubashenko and Sudbery [14].

By definition V is an H-comodule with the coaction $\delta : V \longrightarrow V \otimes H \delta(x_i) = x_j \otimes z_i^j$. The dual space V^* is also an H-comodule, with the coaction $\delta(\xi^i) = \xi^j \otimes t_j^i$. The algebras Λ and S have been defined by

$$\Lambda = T(V)/ < \mathrm{Im}(R+1) >, \quad S = T(V)/ < \mathrm{Im}(R-q) > .$$

Let us consider the following pairing on $V^* \otimes V^*$ and $V \otimes V :< \xi^i \otimes \xi^j | x_k \otimes x_l >:= \delta_l^i \delta_k^j$. It makes $V^* \otimes V^*$ a dual object to $V \otimes V$ in the category of super vector spaces. Let R^* be the dual operator to R with respect to this pairing. We have $R^*(\xi^i \otimes \xi^j) = \xi^k \otimes \xi^l R_{lk}^{ji}$. Thus we can define quadratic algebras on V^*: $S^\vee$ and $\Lambda^\vee$ by:

$$\Lambda^\vee := T(V^*)/ < \mathrm{Im}(R^*+1) >, \quad S^\vee := T(V^*)/ < \mathrm{Im}(R^*-q) > .$$

The relations on $\Lambda^\vee$ and $S^\vee$ are $\xi^k\xi^l = -(-1)^{\hat{k}\hat{l}}q_{kl}^{-1}\xi^l\xi^k$ and $\xi^k\xi^l = (-1)^{\hat{k}\hat{l}}p_{kl}\xi^l\xi^k$, respectively.

Set $\mathbf{K}^{k,l} := \Lambda_k \otimes S_l^\vee$. Let $\mathrm{D} : \mathbf{K}^{k,l} \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}^{k+1,l+1}$ be the morphism

$$\mathrm{D} = \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathrm{db} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^*}^{\otimes l} : V^{\otimes k} \otimes V^{*\otimes l} \longrightarrow V^{\otimes k+1} \otimes V^{*\otimes l+1},$$

where db is the morphism $\mathbb{K} \longrightarrow V \otimes V^*$, $\mathrm{db}(1_\mathbb{K}) = \sum_i x_i \otimes \xi^i$. Then $\mathrm{D}^2 = 0$. Hence for every k, D induces a differential in the complex

$$\mathbf{K}_k^\bullet = \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} \mathbf{K}^{0,k} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} \mathbf{K}^{1,k+1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} \cdots$$

Therefore $\mathbf{K}_k^\bullet$ is a complex in the category of H-comodules, its cohomology group is an H-comodule. Assume that there are among $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d$, m even elements and n odd elements, $m + n = d$. Reordering the x_i's we can assume that $\hat{i} = 0$ for $i \leq m$ and $\hat{i} = 1$ for $i > m$.

Theorem 3.2 *[15] The complex $(\mathbf{K}_k^\bullet, \mathrm{D})$ is exact everywhere except at the term $\mathbf{K}_{n-m}^{m,n}$, and the cohomology group of $\mathbf{K}_{n-m}^\bullet$ is one-dimensional, generated by the element*

$$x_1x_2\cdots x_m\xi^{m+1}\xi^{m+2}\cdots\xi^{m+n} \text{ modulo ImD.} \tag{16}$$

The group-like element in H induced from this cohomology group is called the quantum super determinant or quantum Berezinian.

Theorem 3.3 *[14] The quantum Berezinian can be given by the following formula, which is valid for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ and $\theta \in \mathfrak{S}_n$,*

$$\mathrm{Ber}_q Z = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_m} \tfrac{\sigma(-p)}{\tau(-p)} z_{1\tau}^{1\sigma} z_{2\tau}^{2\sigma}\cdots z_{m\tau}^{m\sigma} \times \sum_{\nu\in\mathfrak{S}_n} \tfrac{\theta(-p,m)}{\nu(-p,m)} t_{m+1\nu}^{m+1\theta} t_{m+2\nu}^{m+2\theta}\cdots t_{m+n\nu}^{m+n\theta}, \tag{17}$$

where $\nu(p,m) := \prod_{i<j, i\nu>i\nu} p_{m+i\nu,m+j\nu}$.

The complex in our form here was given by Lyubashenko and Gurevich [13, 6], it slightly differs from one introduced by Manin [15] (since the pairings were defined differently).

4 Structure of Quantum Super Matrix

In §2 we have defined the structure of the function ring H on the quantum group $\mathrm{GL}_q(m|n)$ as well as the quantum Berezinian. However, the Hopf algebra H is still generated by too many generators ($2d^2$). The aim of this section is to reduce the number of generators to minimal (d^2+2) as well as provide quantum analogs of the well-known formulas for the classical Berezinian.

We will assume that $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$ are even parameters and $x_{m+1}, \cdots, x_{m+n}$ are odd parameters. The matrices $Z = (z_i^j)_{i,j=1}^{m+n}$ and $T = (t_j^i)_{i,j=1}^{m+n}$ decompose into 4 matrices:

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ U & V \end{pmatrix}.$$

The antipode is then:

$$S\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X & -Y \\ U & V \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} X & -Y \\ U & V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X & -Y \\ U & V \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (18)$$

According to (17):

$$\mathrm{Ber}_q Z = \det_q A \cdot \det_q V.$$

According to (1) and (10), we have the following commuting rule between z_i^j and t_k^l, $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, $k, l = m+1, m+2, \cdots, m+n$:

$$\frac{p_{il}}{1 + p_{il}q_{il}} z_i^j t_k^l = \frac{p_{jk}}{1 + p_{jk}q_{jk}} t_k^l z_i^j,$$

from which it follows immediately that $\det_q A$ and $\det_q V$ commute. In fact, every term of $\det_q A$ in (12) commutes with every term of $\det_q V$ in (15). Since $\mathrm{Ber}_q Z$ is a group-like element, it is invertible in H. Therefore $\det_q A$ and $\det_q V$ are invertible. According to Lemma 3.1, we can define matrices A^{-1} and V^{-1}, such that $A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = 1$, $V^{-1}V = VV^{-1} = 1$.

Multiplying both sides of the first equation in (18) by $\mathrm{Diag}\{A^{-1}, 1\}$ from the left and by $\mathrm{Diag}\{1, V^{-1}\}$ from the right, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} X + A^{-1}BU & -YV^{-1} + A^{-1}B \\ CX + DU & -CYV^{-1} + D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & V^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Hence $H := D - CA^{-1}B = V^{-1}$. Therefore the quantum Berezinian is

$$\mathrm{Ber}_q Z = \det_q A \cdot \det_q (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

According to (11), V is a quantum matrix. Using Lemma 3.1 we obtain the relation (5) for the elements h_i^j of H:

$$R_{okl}^{ps} h_i^k h_j^l = h_q^p h_n^s R_{oij}^{qn},$$

where R_o denotes the sub-matrix of R of elements, whose parities of both indices are odd. Thus H is a quantum matrix. Let $\det_q H$ be its quantum determinant. From (18) and (19) we obtain $Y = A^{-1}BH^{-1}, U = -H^{-1}CA^{-1}$. Whence $X = A^{-1} + A^{-1}BH^{-1}CA^{-1}$. Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ U & V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} + A^{-1}BH^{-1}CA^{-1} & A^{-1}BH^{-1} \\ -H^{-1}CA^{-1} & H^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

Let us consider another Koszul complex $\mathbf{L}^{\bullet\bullet} := S \otimes \Lambda^{\vee}$, the cohomology of which is also one-dimensional, hence induces a group-like element

$$\det_q D \cdot \det_q X = \det_q X \cdot \det_q D.$$

Lemma 4.1 *The following equations hold*

$$S(\det_q A) = \det_q X,$$
$$S(\det_q V) = \det_q D.$$

Proof. Since $S(A) = X$, let $w = w_m$ be the permutation that reverses the order of $1, 2, \ldots, m$, we then have

$$\frac{w\sigma(-p)}{\sigma(-p)} = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (-p_{ij}), \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m.$$

Therefore, by (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\det_q A) &= S\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \tfrac{\sigma(-p)}{\tau(-p)} z_{1\tau}^{1\sigma} z_{2\tau}^{2\sigma} \cdots z_{m\tau}^{m\sigma} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \tfrac{\sigma(-p)}{\tau(-p)} t_{m\tau}^{m\sigma} \cdots t_{2\tau}^{2\sigma} t_{1\tau}^{1\sigma} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \tfrac{w\sigma(-p)}{w\tau(-p)} t_{1w\tau}^{1w\sigma} t_{2w\tau}^{2w\sigma} \cdots z_{mw\tau}^{mw\sigma} \\ &= \det_q X. \end{aligned}$$

According to (9), $S(V) = GDG^{-1}$, where G is a diagonal matrix. Therefore $\det_q(GDG^{-1}) = \det_q D$. Hence, $S(\det_q V) = \det_q(GDG^{-1}) = \det_q D$.∎

Proposition 4.2 $(\mathrm{Ber}_q Z)^{-1} = \det_q D \cdot \det_q (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Ber}_q Z$ is a group-like element, $S(\mathrm{Ber}_q Z) = (\mathrm{Ber}_q Z)^{-1}$. On the other hand, we have $X = (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}$.∎

The formula in Proposition 4.2 gives us another formula for the quantum Berezinian:

$$\mathrm{Ber} Z = \det_q D^{-1} \det_q (A - BD^{-1}C).$$

We summarize the results obtained in a theorem.

Theorem 4.3 *Let Z be a quantum super matrix defined as in (5), assume that Z is written in the standard format:*

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then A and D are quantum matrices. If Z is invertible, then A and D are invertible too. Moreover, the matrices $A - BD^{-1}C$ and $D - CA^{-1}B$ are invertible, too, and the quantum Berezinian of Z can be given by

$$\mathrm{Ber}_q Z = \det_q A \cdot \det_q (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} = \det_q D^{-1} \det_q (A - BD^{-1}C). \blacksquare$$

It is now natural to ask, if the converse assertion to Theorem 4.3 holds. Below we will show that the answer is positive.

If ε_{ij} satisfy the condition in (2) then we can reorder x_i in such a way that $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$ for $i < j$. However, in this case we may not have the standard form for Z. We shall prove here the converse assertion to Theorem (2.6), provided that $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$ for $i < j$ and Z is in the standard format. The proof for the general case can be done in the same way. However, in the general case, the relations become more complicated.

If we rearrange the indices of $R = R_{ij}^{kl}$ in a form that is compatible with the decomposition of Z into four matrices, we shall have the following form of R:

$$R = \left| \begin{array}{cccc} R_e & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q & 0 \\ 0 & P & (q-1)I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_o \end{array} \right| \tag{22}$$

where $R_e = (R)_{i,j=1}^{m}, R_o = (R)_{i,j=m+1}^{m+n}, Q_{ji}^{kl} = q_{ij}\delta_{ij}^{kl}, P_{ij}^{lk} = p_{ij}\delta_{ij}^{kl}, i,k \leq m < j,l$, whence $PQ = qI$.

For convenience, we shall denote $T_1 = T \otimes I, T_2 = I \otimes T$ where T is a (not necessarily square) matrix, usually of the form $m \times n, m \times n, n \times m$ or $n \times n$, I is the identity matrix of the form $m \times m$ or $n \times n$. The choice of I will be taken appropriately in each context. More generally, we shall also consider the matrix $T_3 = I \otimes I \otimes T$, and so on.

The relations in (5) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} R_e A_1 A_2 &=& A_1 A_2 R_e & & R_e B_1 B_2 &=& B_1 B_2 R_o \\ C_1 C_2 R_e &=& R_o C_1 C_2 & & R_o D_1 D_2 &=& D_1 D_2 R_o \\ R_e A_1 B_2 &=& B_1 A_2 P & & A_1 C_2 R_e &=& q P^{-1} C_1 A_2 \\ R_o C_1 D_2 &=& -D_1 C_2 P & & -B_1 D_2 R_o &=& q P^{-1} D_1 B_2 \\ q A_1 D_2 P^{-1} - q P^{-1} D_1 A_2 &=& (q-1) B_1 C_2 & & & & \\ B_1 C_2 P &=& -q P^{-1} C_1 B_2. & & & & \end{array} \tag{23}$$

Lemma 4.4 *Assume that A is invertible. Let $H = D - CA^{-1}B$ then H is a quantum matrix: $R_oH_1H_2 = H_1H_2R_o$.*

Proof. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}(CA^{-1}B)_1(CA^{-1}B)_2 &= -qC_1A_1^{-1}P^{-1}C_1B_2P^{-1}A_2^{-1}B_2\\ &= -C_1C_2R_eA_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}R_e^{-1}B_1B_2\\ &= C_1C_2A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}B_1B_2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}R_oC_1A_1^{-1}B_1C_2A_2^{-1}B_2 &= R_oC_1C_2A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}B_1B_2\\ &= C_1C_2A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}B_1B_2R_o\\ &= C_1A_1^{-1}B_1C_2A_2^{-1}B_2R_o.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}D_1C_2A_2^{-1}B_2 &= -R_oC_1D_2P^{-1}A_2^{-1}B_2\\ &= -R_oC_1(A_1^{-1}P^{-1}D_1 + (q-1)q^{-1}A_1^{-1}B_1C_2A_2^{-1})B_2\\ &= q^{-1}R_oC_1A_1^{-1}B_1D_2R_o - (1-q^{-1})R_oC_1A_1^{-1}B_1C_2A_2^{-1}B_2.\end{aligned}$$

The second term, as shown above, commutes with R_o. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&R_o(D_1C_2A_2^{-1}B_2 + C_1A_1^{-1}B_1D_2)\\ &= q^{-1}R_o^2C_1A_1^{-1}B_1D_2R_o + R_oC_1A_1^{-1}B_1D_2 - (1-q^{-1})R_o^2C_1A_1^{-1}B_1C_2A_2^{-1}B_2\\ &= C_1A_1^{-1}B_1D_2R_o + R_oC_1A_1^{-1}B_1D_2(1+(1-q^{-1})R_o)\\ &\quad -(1-q^{-1})R_o^2C_1A_1^{-1}B_1C_2A_2^{-1}B_2\\ &= (D_1C_2A_2^{-1}B_2 + C_1A_1^{-1}B_1D_2)R_o\end{aligned}$$

Since D also satisfies $R_0D_1D_2 = D_1D_2R_o$, the lemma is proved.∎

Corollary 4.5 *If A is invertible then we can define the quantum Berezinian for Z:*

$$BerZ = \det_qA^{-1}\det_q(D - CA^{-1}B).$$∎

The analogous assertion to Corollary 4.5 holds if we assume D to be invertible. If we assume that both A and D are invertible we will have an element $\det_qD^{-1}\det_q(D-CA^{-1}B)$ which is expected to be the inverse of Ber Z (cf. Proposition 4.2). Since we don't have the Hopf algebra structure yet, the method of the previous section cannot be applied. A direct verification seems to be very complicated. We prove instead that if A and D are invertible then Z is invertible.

According to Corollary 4.5 it is sufficient to show that $D-CA^{-1}B$ is invertible if A and D are, or equivalently, to show that $CA^{-1}BD^{-1}$ is nilpotent. This fact follows from the lemma and the corollary below.

Lemma 4.6 *The matrix $K = CA^{-1}BD^{-1}$ satisfies the following relation*

$$K_1(R_oT)_1K_2(R_oT)_2\cdots(R_oT)_{mn}K_{mn+1} = 0,$$

where T is the usual permuting operator on $V_{\bar{0}} \otimes V_{\bar{1}} : T_{ij}^{lk} = \delta_{ij}^{kl}, i, j \leq m < k, l$.

Proof. According to (23),

$$D_1^{-1}R_oTC_2 = -C_2PTD_1^{-1} \quad qA^{-1}P^{-1}T^{-1}C_2 = C_2R_eT^{-1}A_1^{-1}$$
$$-B_1C_2PT = qP^{-1}T^{-1}C_2B_1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} C_1A_1^{-1}B_1D_1^{-1}R_oTC_2 &= -C_1A_1^{-1}B_1C_2PTD_1^{-1} \\ &= qC_1A_1^{-1}P^{-1}T^{-1}C_2B_1D_1^{-1} \\ &= C_1C_2R_e^{-1}A_1^{-1}B_1D_1^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we can show that

$$K_1(R_oT)_1K_2(R_oT)_2\cdots(R_oT)_{k-1}K_k$$

can be led to the form that contains $C_1C_2\cdots C_k$. Since $C_1C_2\cdots C_{mn+1} = 0$, the assertion is proved.∎

Corollary 4.7 $K_1K_2\cdots K_{mn+1} = 0$.

Proof. We prove, that if $K_1(R_oT)_1K(R_oT)_2\cdots(R_oT)_{l-1}K_l = 0$, then $K_1K_2\cdots K_l = 0$. We shall prove this for $l = 2$, the general case being similarly proved. Thus, assume $K_1(R_oT)_1K_2 = 0$, i.e.

$$\sum_{m+1\leq m,q\leq m+n} K_m^iK_l^q\, R_{oqk}^{\ \ mj} = 0, \quad \forall m+1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq m+n.$$

Since the matrix R_o is closed, i.e., there exists a matrix S_o, such that $R_{okn}^{\ \ im}S_{oml}^{\ \ nj} = S_{okn}^{\ \ im}R_{oml}^{\ \ nj} = \delta_l^i\delta_k^j$, from the above equation we derive

$$K_j^iK_l^k = 0, \quad \forall m+1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq m+n.∎$$

Corollary 4.7 shows that K is a nilpotent matrix. Hence $I - K = I - CA^{-1}BD^{-1}$ is invertible. Analogously we have $I - D^{-1}CA^{-1}B$ is invertible. Therefore $D - CA^{-1}B$ is invertible. Thus we have proved

Theorem 4.8 *Let R be the matrix in (1) with $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1, \forall i < j$, then the function algebra on the associated quantum general linear super group $GL_R(m|n)$ can be characterized as:*

1. the algebra generated by entries of A, B, C, D and $\det_qA^{-1}, \det_q(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1}$, factorized by the relations in (23).

2. the algebra generated by entries of A, B, C, D and $\det_qA^{-1}, \det_qD^{-1}$, factorized by the relations in (23).

A remark on the general case. In the general case, the matrix R has the following form:

$$R = \begin{vmatrix} R_e & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_1 & Q & 0 \\ 0 & P & R_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_o \end{vmatrix}$$

where the matrices R_1 and R_2 satisfy certain conditions: $(R_1Q)^2 = (q-1)R_1Q$, $R_1Q+QR_2 = (q-1)Q$. The relations in (23) become more complicated. To prove Lemma 4.4 one should first establish the relations between H and A, B, C, D, which can be predicted from (10). More work is needed for Lemma 4.6.

5 Generalization

In this section we generalize the results obtained in the previous sections for the Hecke sum of an even and an odd Hecke operator. The decomposition of R in (23) is a special case of the Hecke sum, first introduced by Gurevich [6], and studied by Lyubashenko and Sudbery in [14].

By definition, an even Hecke operator or an even Hecke symmetry on a non-super vector space V is an operator on $V \otimes V$, satisfying the Yang-Baxter and Hecke equations, being closed (see page 3) and such that the associated anti-symmetric tensor algebra Λ is finite dimensional. The degree of the highest non-vanishing homogeneous component of Λ is called the rank of R. It was shown by Gurevich that for such an operator, the associated bialgebra, defined by relations in (5), possesses a group-like element that plays the role of the quantum determinant. The matrix quantum group associated to an even Hecke operator was studied in [6, 9]. An operator R on $V \otimes V$ is an odd Hecke operator if $-qR^{-1}$ is an even Hecke operator. The rank of R is defined to be the rank of $-qR^{-1}$.

Let R_e and R_o be an even and an odd Hecke operator on V_0 and V_1 respectively. Let P be an invertible, closed operator $P : V_1 \otimes V_0 \longrightarrow V_0 \otimes V_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} P_2P_1R_{e2} &= R_{e1}P_2P_1, \\ P_1P_2R_{o1} &= R_{o2}P_1P_2. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Let V be the super vector space, such that $V_{\bar{0}} = V_0, V_{\bar{1}} = V_1$ and let R be an operator on $V \otimes V$ defined from R_e, R_o and P as in (22), where $Q = qP^{-1}$. Then R is a closed Hecke operator. Along the same line as in §2 and §3 we can define the super bialgebra E and its Hopf envelope H associated to R, which are called the matrix quantum super (semi) group of type (r, s), where r (resp. s) is the rank of R_e (resp. R_o).

The following questions are to be answered:

1) What is the Poincaré series of E and when does E have a PBW-basis?

2) Can one define the quantum (super) determinant?

3) Does Eq. (20) hold?

4) Is Theorem 4.8 still true?

The Poincaré series of E can be given in terms of the Poincaré series of the bialgebras E_0 defined on V_0 by R_e and E_1 defined on V_1 by R_o [10]

$$P_E(t) = P_{E_0}(t) * P_{E_1}(t). \tag{25}$$

Lemma 5.1 *The following equations hold:*

$$B_1 B_2 \cdots B_{rs+1} = 0,$$
$$C_1 C_2 \cdots C_{rs+1} = 0.$$

Proof. We give the proof of the first equation, the proof for the second one is similar. Consider the algebra generated by $R_{ei}, i = 1, \cdots, rs+1$ (resp. R_{oi}), which is a factor of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{rs+1}$. $\mathcal{H}_{rs+1}$ is semi-simple, its central primitive idempotents are indexed by $\{\lambda | \lambda \vdash rs+1\}$ [4]. Let $R_{e\lambda}$ (resp. $R_{o\lambda}$) be the image of the central primitive idempotent in $\mathcal{H}_n$ corresponding to λ in the algebra generated by R_{ei} (resp. R_{oi}). Thus, we have $1 = \sum_{\lambda \vdash rs+1} R_{e\lambda}$ and the analogous equation for $R_{o\lambda}$.

The relation in (23) for B implies:

$$R_{e\lambda} B_1 B_2 \cdots B_{rs+1} = B_1 B_2 \cdots B_{rs+1} R_{o\lambda},$$

hence

$$B_1 B_2 \cdots B_{rs+1} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash rs+1} R_{e\lambda} B_1 B_2 \cdots B_{rs+1} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash rs+1} B_1 B_2 \cdots B_{rs+1} R_{o\lambda}.$$

Since R_e is an even Hecke operator of rank $r, e_\lambda = 0$ unless $\lambda_i = 0, \forall i \geq r+1$, analogously, $o_\lambda = 0$ unless $\lambda_1 \leq s$ [9]. Whence for all $\lambda \vdash (rs+1)$, either $R_{e\lambda} = 0$ or $R_{o\lambda} = 0$. The equation above then implies that $B_1 B_2 \cdots B_{rs+1} = 0$.∎

The relations in (23) for the matrices A, B, C, D allow us to express any element of E as a linear combination of elements of the form $dcba$, where d (resp. c, b, a) is the monomial in the entries of D (resp. C, B, A). Hence, if $\{a_i | i \in I\}$ (resp. $\{d_j | j \in J\}$) form a basis of the subalgebra of E generated by entries of A (resp. D), i.e. E_0 (resp. E_1), then $d_j c_k b_l a_i$ span E, where c_k (resp. b_l) is the ordered monomials in the entries of $C(D)$. From (25) and Lemma 5.1 it follows that $d_j c_k b_l a_i$ form a basis for E. Thus we proved

Proposition 5.2 *If on E_0 and E_1 there exist PBW-bases then there exists such a basis on E.*

Lyubashenko and Sudbery [14] showed that the cohomology group of the Koszul complex associated to R is isomorphic to the tensor product of the cohomology groups of the Koszul complexes associated to R_e and R_o. Since R_e is an even Hecke operator, the cohomology group for R_e is isomorphic to Λ_0^r, where Λ_0 is the quantum antisymmetric tensor algebra defined on V_0, r is the rank of R_e. Analogously, the cohomology group for R_o is isomorphic to $S_1^{\vee s}$, where s is the rank of R_o. Hence, the cohomology of the Koszul complex on V is isomorphic to $\Lambda_0^r \otimes S_1^{\vee s}$. This is a generalization of Theorem 3.2. Thus, we can define the quantum Berezinian in the Hopf envelope H of E.

Proposition 5.3 *Let* $\det_q A$ *(resp.* $\det_q V$*) be the quantum determinant of* A *(resp.* V*), then they commute and the quantum Berezinian of* Z *is given by*

$$\mathrm{Ber}_q Z = \det_q A \cdot \det_q V.$$

Proof. First, we give the formula for the quantum determinant $\det_q A$ and $\det_q V$. Let $\Phi_e = R_{e(1,1,\dots,1)}$ and $\Phi_o = R_{o(s)}$ in the notation of the proof of Lemma 5.1. Then one can show that Φ_e is the projection on $\Lambda_0^\vee$ and Φ_o is the projection on $S_1^{\vee s}$ (cf. [6]). Let S_e be the matrix such that $R_{eim}^{\ \ jn} S_{enl}^{\ \ mk} = S_{eim}^{\ \ jn} R_{enl}^{\ \ mk} = \delta_i^k \delta_l^j$. Let $F_{ei}^{\ \ j} := S_{eli}^{\ \ lj}$. We define the matrix S_o analogously and set $G_{oi}^{\ \ j} := S_{oil}^{\ \ jl}$.

Lemma 5.4 *Let* $\mathcal{I}(r,d) = \{I = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r) | i_j \in \{1, 2, \cdots d\}\}$ *be the set of indices. For* $I = (i_1, \cdots i_r), J = (j_1, \cdots j_r)$ *let* $F_e{}_I^{\ J} = F_{ei_1}^{\ \ j_1} F_{ei_2}^{\ \ j_2} \cdots F_{ei_r}^{\ \ j_r}$*. Then the quantum determinant for* A *can be given by*

$$\det_q A = q^{\frac{r(r+1)}{2}} F_e{}_J^{\ I} \Phi_e{}_K^{\ J} A_I^K,$$

where the indices run in $\mathcal{I}$*.*

Proof. Let us consider the bialgebra E_0 generated by entries of A and its Hopf envelope H_0. We know that Λ_0^r defines the quantum determinant for A. The coaction $\delta : \Lambda_0^r \longrightarrow \Lambda_0^r \otimes H_0$ induces the coalgebra homomorphism $\overline{\delta} : (\Lambda_0^r)^* \otimes \Lambda_0^r \longrightarrow H_0$, whose image is the subspace of H_0, generated by the quantum determinant. $(\Lambda_0^r)^* \otimes \Lambda_0^r$ is one-dimensional, hence it is sufficient to find a non-zero element of this space and consider its image in H_0.

Since R_e is closed, we can define the map $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{db}} V \otimes V^* \xrightarrow{S_e} V^* \otimes V$, db is defined as on page 8. We have $\varphi_1(1_{\mathbb{K}}) = F_{ej}^{\ \ i} \xi^j \otimes x_i$. More generally, we define the map $\varphi_r : \mathbb{K} \longrightarrow V_0^{\otimes r} \otimes (V_0^{\otimes r})^* \longrightarrow (V_0^{\otimes r})^* \otimes V_0^{\otimes r}$, $\varphi_r(1_{\mathbb{K}}) = F_e{}_J^{\ I} \xi^J \otimes x_I$. Therefore we get the map $(\Phi_e{}^* \otimes \Phi_e) \circ \varphi_r : \mathbb{K} \to (\Lambda_0^r)^* \otimes \Lambda_0^r$, whence, $\overline{\delta}_o (\Phi_e{}^* \otimes \Phi_e) \circ \varphi_r : \mathbb{K} \longrightarrow H$. The image of $1_{\mathbb{K}}$ under $\overline{\delta}$ is then $F_e{}_J^{\ I} \Phi_e{}_K^{\ J} A_L^K \Phi_e{}_I^{\ L}$. Since Φ_e and A commute and since Φ_e is a projection, this element is equal to $F_e{}_J^{\ I} \Phi_e{}_K^{\ J} A_I^K$. To show that this element is the quantum determinant we are looking for, it is enough to show that it is not zero. We have $\varepsilon(F_e{}_J^{\ I} \Phi_e{}_K^{\ J} A_I^K) = F_e{}_J^{\ I} \Phi_e{}_I^{\ J} = q^{-\frac{r(r+1)}{2}}$ where ε is the counit

of H_0. The last equation is due to the fact that $F_{ej}^{\ i} R_{eil}^{\ \ jk} = \delta_l^k$ and $F_{ei}^{\ i} = \frac{1-q^{-r}}{q-1}$ (cf. [9]).∎

In the same way we get the formula for the quantum determinant for the quantum matrix V:

$$\det{}_q V = q^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}} G_{oJ}^{\ \ I} \overline{\Phi}_{oK}^{\ \ J} V_I^K,$$

where $\overline{\Phi}_{ok_1k_2\cdots k_n}^{j1j2\ldots j_n} := \Phi_{ok_n\ldots k_2k_1}^{j_n\ldots j_2j_1}$. The order of indices of $\overline{\Phi_o}$ is reversed because of the definition of the pairing on page 7. However, using the relation in (11) and the fact that Φ_o commutes with all $R_{oi}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we deduce that $\det_q V = q^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}} G_{oJ}^{\ \ I} \Phi_{oK}^{\ \ J} V_I^K$.

Lemma 5.5 $\det_q A$ *and* $\det_q V$ *commute.*

Proof. Let T be the matrix such that $T_{iq}^{jp} P_{pl}^{qk} = \delta_l^k \delta_l^j$ then, according to (10), we have

$$A_j^i V_k^l = T_{jp}^{lq} V_n^p A_q^m P_{mk}^{ni}.$$

Hence, there exist matrices T_{KL}^{IJ} and P_{KL}^{IJ} such that

$$T_{IQ}^{JP} P_{PL}^{QK} = \delta_I^K \delta_L^J \quad \text{and} \quad A_I^K V_M^P = T_{IS}^{PR} V_U^S A_R^V P_{VM}^{UK}.$$

The equations in (24) imply

$$\begin{array}{ll} F_{eJ}^{\ \ I} T_{IS}^{PR} = F_{eI}^{\ \ R} P^{-1}{}_{SJ}^{IP}, & \Phi_{eJ}^{\ \ I} P_{KN}^{MJ} = P_{JN}^{MI} \Phi_K^J, \\ P^{-1}{}_{SJ}^{IP} G_{oP}^{\ \ N} = G_{oS}^{\ \ P} T_{JP}^{NI}, & \Phi_{oJ}^{\ \ I} P_{NK}^{JM} = P_{NJ}^{IM} \Phi_{oK}^{\ \ J}. \end{array}$$

Hence

$$F_{eJ}^{\ \ I} T_{IS}^{PR} G_{oP}^{\ \ N} = F_{eI}^{\ \ R} G_{oS}^{\ \ P} T_{JP}^{NI}, \quad \Phi_{eK}^{\ \ J} P_{VM}^{UK} \Phi_{oN}^{\ \ M} = \Phi_{eV}^{\ \ K} P_{KN}^{MJ} \Phi_{oM}^{\ \ U}.$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{eJ}^{\ \ I} \Phi_{eK}^{\ \ J} A_I^K \cdot G_{oN}^{\ \ M} \Phi_{oP}^{\ \ N} V_M^P &= F_{eJ}^{\ \ I} \Phi_{eK}^{\ \ J} T_{IS}^{PR} V_U^S A_R^V P_{VM}^{UK} G_{oP}^{\ \ N} \Phi_{oN}^{\ \ M} \\ &= \Phi_{eK}^{\ \ J} F_{eI}^{\ \ R} G_{oS}^{\ \ P} T_{JP}^{NI} P_{VM}^{UK} \Phi_{oN}^{\ \ M}\ V_U^S A_R^V \\ &= \Phi_{eV}^{\ \ K} F_{eI}^{\ \ R} G_{oS}^{\ \ P} T_{JP}^{NI} P_{KN}^{MJ} \Phi_{oM}^{\ \ U}\ V_U^S A_R^V \\ &= \Phi_{oM}^{\ \ U} G_{oS}^{\ \ M} V_U^S \cdot \Phi_{eV}^{\ \ I} F_{eI}^{\ \ R} A_R^V \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.∎

We now prove the formula for the Berezinian. Let α_J^I and $\beta_L^K, I, J \in \mathcal{I}(r,m)$ and $K, L \in \mathcal{I}(s,n)$ be two sets of indices, such that $\alpha^I X_I \beta_J \Xi^J$ represents the unique cohomology class of $H(K_0^{\bullet\bullet})$. Thus

$$\delta(\alpha^I X_I \beta_J \Xi^J) = \alpha^I X_I \beta_J \Xi^J \otimes \mathrm{Ber}\ (\text{modulo } \mathrm{ImD} \otimes H_0). \tag{26}$$

On the other hand, $\alpha^I X_I$ (resp. $\beta_J \Xi^J$) represents Λ_0^r (resp. $S_1^{\vee s}$) and

$$\delta(\alpha^I X_I) = \alpha^I X_I \otimes \det_q A + X_K \otimes Z^K$$

where K runs in the set $\mathcal{I}(r,d)\backslash\mathcal{I}(r,m)$ and Z^K are certain elements of H. A similar equation holds for $\det_q V$:

$$\delta(\beta_J \Xi^J) = \beta_J \Xi^J \otimes \det_q V + \Xi^L \otimes T_L, L \in \mathcal{I}(s,d)\backslash\mathcal{I}(s,n)$$

Thus,

$$\delta(\alpha^I X_I \beta_J \Xi^J) = \alpha^I X_I \beta_J \Xi^J \otimes \det_q A \det_q V + X_K \xi^L \otimes Z^K T_L$$

where (K,L) runs in $\mathcal{I}(r,d)\times\mathcal{I}(s,d)\backslash\mathcal{I}(r,m)\times\mathcal{I}(s,n)$. We notice that the monomials $X_I\Xi^J, (i,j) \in \mathcal{I}(r,m)\times\mathcal{I}(s,n)$ and $X_K\Xi^L, (K,L) \in \mathcal{I}(r,d)\times\mathcal{I}(s,d)\backslash\mathcal{I}(r,m)$ $\times\mathcal{I}(s,n)$ form two subspaces of $\Lambda^r \otimes S^{\vee s}$, that intersect each other at 0. According to (26), one should have

$$X_K \xi^L \otimes Z^K t_L \equiv 0 \quad (\text{ modulo } \mathrm{Im}\mathrm{D} \otimes H).$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{Ber}_q Z = \det_q A \det_q V$, the proof of Proposition 5.3 is completed.∎

Corollary 5.6 *If Z is invertible then so are A and D.*

Like the case of multiparameter deformations, we can easily derive from the above that $D - CA^{-1}B$ and $A - BD^{-1}C$ are also invertible quantum matrices and an analog of Lemma 4.1 follows from Lemma 5.4. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ber}_q Z &= \det_q A \det_q (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \\ &= \det_q D^{-1} \det_q (A - CD^{-1}B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 4.3 holds for matrix quantum super groups of type (r,s). Its converse, i.e. Theorem 4.8, also holds. In fact, everything can be done along the same line as in §4. There is no difference for the proof of Lemma 4.4, from which Corollary 4.5 follows. The same situation is for the proofs of Lemma 4.6 and Corollary 4.7 from which Theorem 4.8 follows. Thus we have

Theorem 5.7 *Let Z be a quantum super matrix in a standard format, associated to the Hecke sum of an even Hecke operator and an odd Hecke operator. Then Z is invertible iff its even block matrices A and D are invertible. The quantum Berezinian for Z can be defined as in the classical case and is multiplicative.*

References

[1] E. Corrigan, D.B. Fairlie, P. Fletcher, and R. Sasaki. Some Aspects of Quantum Groups and Supergroups. *Journal of Math. Phys.*, 31(4), 1990.

[2] L. Dabrowski and L. Wang. Two-parameter Quantum Deformation of $GL(1|1)$. *Physics Letters B*, 226:51–54, 1991.

[3] E. Demidov. Algebras of Functions of Quantum Matrix Supergroups. *Funct. Analysis and Its Appl.*, 24(3):78–79, 1992.

[4] R. Dipper and G. James. Representations of Hecke Algebras of General Linear Groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 52(3):20–52, 1986.

[5] L. Faddeev, N. Reshetikhin, and L. Takhtajian. Quantisation of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras. *Leningrad Math. Journal*, 1:193–225, 1990.

[6] D.I. Gurevich. Hecke Symmetry and Quantum Determinants. *Soviet Math. Doklad*, 38:555–559, 1989. See also *Leningrad Math. Journal*, 2(4):801-828,1991.

[7] Phung Ho Hai. Ph.D. Thesis. *University of Munich*, 1996.

[8] Phung Ho Hai. Koszul Property and Poincaré Series of Matrix Bialgebra of Type A_n. *Journal of Algebra*, 192(2):734–748, 1997.

[9] Phung Ho Hai. On Matrix Quantum Groups of Type A_n. Preprint ICTP/97101, `q-alg/9708007`, 1997.

[10] Phung Ho Hai. Poincaré Series of Quantum Spaces Associated to Hecke Operators. Preprint ICTP 1997/171, `q-alg 9711020`, 1997.

[11] T. Kobayashi and T. Uematsu. Differential calculus on the quantum superspace and deformation of phase space. *Z. Physics C.*, 56:193–199, 1992.

[12] R. Larson and J. Towber. Two Dual Classes of Bialgebras Related To The Concepts of "Quantum Groups" and "Quantum Lie Algebra". *Comm. in Algebra*, 19(12):3295–3345, 1991.

[13] V.V. Lyubashenko. Superanalysis and Solutions to the Triangles Equation. *Ph.D Dissertation Phy. - Mat. Sciences, Kiev, (in Russian)*, 1987.

[14] V.V. Lyubashenko and A. Sudbery. Quantum Super Groups of $\mathrm{GL}(n|m)$ Type: Differential Forms, Koszul Complexes and Berezinians. *Duke Math. Journal*, 90:1–62, 1997.

[15] Yu.I. Manin. Multiparametric Quantum Deformation of the General Linear Supergroups. *Com. Math. Phys.*, 123:163–175, 1989.

[16] A. Sudbery. Consistent Multiparameter Quantisation of GL(n). *J. Physics A*, 23(15), 1990.

[17] A. Sudbery. Matrix-Element Bialgebras Determined by Quadratic Coordinate Algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 158:375–399, 1993.